

Equator Network: Conference Abstract Guideline Checklist

Items to Include when Reporting a Randomized Trial in a Journal or Conference Abstract

Item	Description	Reported on
Title	Identification of the study of your downing d	nne number
The		
Authors *	Contact details for the corresponding author	
Trial design	Description of the trial design (e.g. parallel, cluster, non-	
	inferiority)	
Methods		
Participants	Eligibility criteria for participants and the settings where	
	the data were collected	
Interventions	Interventions intended for each group	
Objective	Specific objective or hypothesis	
Outcome	Clearly defined primary outcome for this report	
Randomization	How participants were allocated to interventions	
Blinding	Whether or not participants, care givers, and those	
(masking)	assessing the outcomes were blinded to group assignment	
Results		
Numbers	Number of participants randomized to each group	
randomized		
Recruitment	Trial status	
Numbers	Number of participants analysed in each group	
analysed		
Outcome	For the primary outcome, a result for each group and the	
	estimated effect size and its precision	
Harms	Important adverse events or side effects	
Conclusions	General interpretation of the results	
Trial registration	Registration number and name of trial register	
Funding	Source of funding	

*This item is specific to conference abstracts. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0050020.t001

Source: Hopewell S, Clarke M, Moher D, Wager E, Middleton P, et al. CONSORT for Reporting Randomized Controlled Trials in Journal and Conference Abstracts: Explanation and Elaboration. PLoS Med. 2008; 5 (1): e20. Doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.0050020. Available [online] at URL:

http://journals.plos.org/plosmedicine/article/file?id=10.1371/journal.pmed.0050020&type=printable Accessed on 3rd October, 2017.

Please Also Refer Guidelines to Authors for Submission (Version: December, 2016): http://aiota.org/Ijot/AuthorG

Please Note the Instructions:

1. Include only 1 or 2 key references (references should strictly follow the citation method as given in the recent IJOT guidelines and in above examples)

2. No illustrations (tables/graphs/figures) are needed for OTICON abstract submissions

3. Formatting: In MS Office Word Format (2010-2013 or higher version in .doc or .docx format only) (Please the Figure Below)

- Font style: Times New Roman
- Font size: 12
- Line spacing: Double
- Alignment: Justified

			Par	agraph		?	×
Indents and Spa	cing	Line a	and Pa	age Breaks			
General							
Ali <u>g</u> nment:	Justified		¥				
<u>O</u> utline level:	Body	y Text	¥	Collapsed by c			
Indentation							
<u>L</u> eft:	0"	-		<u>S</u> pecial:		B <u>y</u> :	
<u>R</u> ight:	0-	-		(none)	~		-
<u>M</u> irror inde	ents						
Spacing	For each	1.22					
<u>B</u> efore:	0 pt	Ð		Line spacing:	_	<u>A</u> t:	
A <u>f</u> ter:	0 pt	-		Double	~		-
Don't add	spa <u>c</u> e	betwee	n par	agraphs of the sam	e style		
Pervious Pange Pervious Pange Sample Text Sa Sample Text Sa Sample Text Sa Sample Text San Following Pange	git Parrie ph. Parrie ngle Text ngle Text gle Text S arch Folio	ist Pringraph ist Pringraph Sample Text Sample Text lample Text S inner Pringra	Previous Previous Sample I Sample Te Iample Te	Pangaph Jervinius Pangaph Pr Pangaph Perviews Pangaph Pe ext Sample Text Sample Text Sam ext Sample Text Sample Text Sam et Sample Text man Panetarch Tellsman Panet	ovieta Pango ovieta Pango gle Text Sar gle Text Sar anh Followi	aph aph gle Text gle Text	
<u>T</u> abs	Set As Defaul					Can	rel

- Title and Abstract Headings: Bold and Capitalize Each Word style (e.g.) Key Words
- Use Colon (:) after the Headings
- Please run a grammar and spelling check before submission (Language: American (US) English)

www.aiota.org/ijot (Version 1.0: October, 2017)

Hypothetical Sample Abstract for OTICON (AIOTA, IJOT)

Title: Efficacy of Virtual Training as an Adjunct to Conventional Occupational Therapy Program in Adults with Complex Regional Pain Syndrome: A Randomized Controlled Study

Authors: Solanki PV¹, Srivastava AK², Oberai S³, Lakshmanan S⁴, Sunny J⁵

Affiliations: ¹Consultant Occupational Therapist, NM Medical; Ex-Assistant Professor, Seth G. S. Medical

College & KEM Hospital, and Associate Editor, IJOT, Malad, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

²Director & Consultant Occupational Therapist, Rehabcare, and Editor-in-Chief, IJOT, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India

³Director & Occupational Therapist, Comprehensive Therapy Centre; Ex-Dean, Pad. Dr. D. Y. Patil College of Occupational Therapy, and Editor, IJOT, Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra, India;

⁴Occupational Therapist, NIMHANS, and Assistant Editor (Promotion), IJOT, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India ⁵Director, Prayatna Occupational Therapy Centre, and Website Convener, IJOT, Kochi, Ernakulam, Tamil Nadu, India

Corresponding Author's Contact: Postal Address: NM Medical, Sej Plaza, Marve Road, Off. S. V. Road, Malad West, Mumbai-400064, Maharashtra, India (**Either Official or Residential Address**)

Mobile No.: +91-9820621352; Email: orthorehab.punita@gmail.com

Background: Complex Regional Pain Syndrome (CRPS) is a chronic pain condition which commonly occurs after trauma to an upper limb. Recent evidence suggests that body perception disturbance (BPD) is becoming an increasingly recognized feature of CRPS with a reported prevalence ranging from 54.4% to 84%. The literature suggests emerging therapeutic approaches that target central mechanisms for the resolution of BPD. Virtual training is one of the methods of treatment which is less explored in this condition.

Objectives: To ascertain the efficacy of virtual training program as an adjunct to conventional occupational therapy in adults with post traumatic type I complex regional pain syndrome in upper limb

Study Design: Randomized double-blinded treatment controlled study

Methods: Thirty adult (both males and females, aged 25 to 55 years) patients diagnosed with type I complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) in post-traumatic upper limb conditions, were randomly assigned either to experimental group (virtual training and conventional occupational therapy) or to control group (conventional occupational therapy) after screening on their first visit to an outpatient department. The patients were assessed prior to therapy and at weekly intervals for a period of four months. Patients in experimental group received virtual training four days a week along with conventional therapy for six days a week with each therapy session lasting for one hour, whereas patients in control group received only conventional therapy for six days a week with each therapy for six days a week with each therapy session lasting for one hour, whereas patients in control group received only conventional therapy for six days a week with each therapy session lasting for forty-five minutes. The outcome measures utilized were: quadruple visual analog scale (VAS) for pain intensity, and the Bath CRPS Body Perception Disturbance Scale for BPD. The patients and the treating therapists were blinded to treatment group assignment, which was assigned by sealed envelope.

Results: Number of patients randomized and analyzed in experimental group were N=14 and control group were N=16. No difference between the groups was found for pain intensity (P=0.34, 95%CI: -1.16 to 3.32), however, the treatment group showed significantly more improvement in BPD after the virtual training program than the control group. The difference in the Bath CRPS Body Perception Disturbance Scale for BPD between the two groups was significant (P<0.03, 95%CI: 44.50 to 55.32). Virtual training program is safe if appropriate eligibility criteria is followed.

Conclusions: Virtual training program is efficacious as an adjunct to conventional occupational therapy in post-traumatic type I CRPS, especially for improving body perception disturbance.

Key Words: Body Perception Disturbance, Occupational Therapy, Pain, Type I Complex Regional Pain Syndrome, Virtual Training

Trial Registration: Not applicable

Funding: Study was funded by ABC company

www.aiota.org/ijot (Version 1.0: October, 2017)

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank the Director of ABC Company for kind permission and funding for the conduct of this project and our patients and their care-givers for informed written consent to participate in this study.

References:

1. Lewis J, McCabe CS. Body Perception Disturbance (BPD) in CRPS. Current and emerging therapeutic approaches including desensitization techniques and mirror visual feedback, together with the introduction of a new clinical tool for the early identification of BPD. In: Moskovitz P Eds. Practical Pain Management. PPM Communications, Inc. 2010. p. 60-66. Available [online] at URL: <u>http://rsds.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/02/PPM_April2010.pdf</u> Accessed on 3rd October, 2017.

2. Giummarra MJ, Gibson SJ, Georgiou-Karistianis N, and Bradshaw JL. Mechanisms underlying embodiment, disembodiment and loss of embodiment. *Neurosci & Biobehav Rev.* 2008; 32(1):143-160.